



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/825,585 04/01/97 YOSHIDA Т 35.C10516-C0 **EXAMINER** 005514 WM01/0910 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO ENG.G **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112 2643 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

09/10/01

SM

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/825,585

Applicant(s)

Yoshida

Examiner

George Eng

Art Unit 2643



THE REPLY FILED <u>Aug 31, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in conditional allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	on for
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) X In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for re expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whicheve is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of t rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropri extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. The priginally
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	n in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief requisite fees.	with
3. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying t issues for appeal; and/or	ne
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: the newly amended claims further define communication protocol to facsimile protocol changing the sc	ope
NOTE: the newly amended claims further define communication protocol to facsimile protocol changing the sc of claim which require further search and consideration.	оре
	<u>ope</u>
of claim which require further search and consideration.	оре
of claim which require further search and consideration. 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitts	
of claim which require further search and consideration. 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	d in a
of claim which require further search and consideration. 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place.	ed in a
of claim which require further search and consideration. 4. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 6. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because: 7. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly application.	ed in a
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Solid Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).	ed in a
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Solition	ed in a
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Solid Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	e the
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Solition	e the
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Solid Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	e the